

LOCAL MULTIPLIER ALGEBRAS, INJECTIVE ENVELOPES, AND TYPE I W^* -ALGEBRAS

MARTÍN ARGERAMI AND DOUGLAS R. FARENICK

ABSTRACT. Characterizations of those separable C^* -algebras that have W^* -algebra injective envelopes or W^* -algebra local multiplier algebras are presented. The C^* -envelope and the injective envelope of a class of operator systems that generate certain type I von Neumann algebras are also determined.

The local multiplier algebra $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ of a C^* -algebra A is the C^* -algebraic direct limit of multiplier algebras $M(K)$ along the downward-directed system $\mathcal{E}(A)$ of all (closed) essential ideals K of A . Such algebras first arose in the study of derivations and were formally introduced by Pedersen in [17], where he proves that every derivation on a separable C^* -algebra A extends to an inner derivation of $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$. The question of whether every derivation of $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is inner remains open for arbitrary separable C^* -algebras.

A systematic study of local multiplier algebras is presented in the recent monograph by Ara and Mathieu [2]. One of the most important general facts concerning local multiplier algebras is that the centre $\mathcal{Z}(M_{\text{loc}}(A))$ of $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is an AW^* -algebra [1]. Although $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ itself need not be an AW^* -algebra, Frank and Paulsen [8] have showed recently that $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ can nevertheless be realized as a C^* -subalgebra of a certain minimal injective AW^* -algebra: namely, the injective envelope $I(A)$ of A [9]. Further, even though $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is not in general an AW^* -algebra, there are examples in which $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is actually a W^* -algebra. We show herein that for separable C^* -algebras, $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is a W^* -algebra if and only if A has a minimal essential ideal that is isomorphic to a C^* -algebraic direct sum of elementary C^* -algebras. This result also leads to a new proof of a theorem arising from work of Wright [20] and Hamana [12] that characterizes those separable A for which $I(A)$ is a W^* -algebra.

As usual, we will denote by $B(H)$ and $K(H)$ the set of bounded and compact operators on a Hilbert space H .

The notion of injective envelope [9, 10, 16] first arose in two seminal papers of Arveson [4, 5]. One of the principal results of [5], the so-called boundary theorem, states that if E is an operator system acting on a Hilbert space H such that $K(H) \subset C^*(E)$, then the identity map on E has a unique completely positive extension to the algebra $C^*(E) \subset B(H)$ if and only if the quotient homomorphism onto the Calkin algebra is not completely isometric on E . This theorem is revisited in the present paper for a class of operator systems that generate discrete type I von Neumann algebras.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46L05; Secondary 46L07 .

Keywords and Phrases: *local multiplier algebra, injective envelope, regular monotone completion, C^* -algebra, AW^* -algebra.*

Let $\mathcal{E}(A)$ denote the set of (closed) essential ideals of a C^* -algebra A . For every $K \in \mathcal{E}(A)$, let $M(K)$ denote the multiplier algebra of K . If $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{E}(A)$ are such that $K_1 \subseteq K_2$, then $M(K_1) \supseteq M(K_2)$; thus, the family $\mathcal{E}(A)$ of essential ideals of A determines a downward-directed system of C^* -algebras. The local multiplier algebra $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ of A is C^* -algebraic direct limit that arises from $\mathcal{E}(A)$:

$$M_{\text{loc}}(A) = \varinjlim \{M(K) : K \in \mathcal{E}(A)\}.$$

Every C^* -algebra A is a C^* -subalgebra of its injective envelope $I(A)$ [9]. Moreover, by [8, Corollary 4.3],

$$M_{\text{loc}}(A) = \left(\bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{E}(A)} \{x \in I(A) : xK + Kx \subseteq K\} \right)^{-},$$

where the closure is with respect to the norm topology of $I(A)$. Thus,

$$A \subseteq M_{\text{loc}}(A) \subseteq I(A)$$

is an inclusion of C^* -subalgebras. In [7], Frank showed an additional sequence of inclusions as C^* -subalgebras:

$$A \subseteq M_{\text{loc}}(A) \subseteq M_{\text{loc}}(M_{\text{loc}}(A)) \subseteq \overline{A} \subseteq I(A).$$

In the inclusions above, \overline{A} is the regular monotone completion [11] of A . For separable C^* -algebras, \overline{A} coincides with \overline{A}^σ , the regular monotone σ -completion [19] of A .

It is not known whether $\overline{A} \neq I(A)$ for separable C^* -algebras A , but all other inclusions above can be proper. Most striking is the recent example of Ara and Mathieu [3] in which they show that $M_{\text{loc}}(A) \neq M_{\text{loc}}(M_{\text{loc}}(A))$ for a certain prime AF C^* -algebra A .

Further relations are: $I(M_{\text{loc}}(A)) = I(A)$ [8, Theorem 4.6] and $\mathcal{Z}(M_{\text{loc}}(A)) = M_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{Z}(A)) = \mathcal{Z}(I(A))$ [7, Theorem 2] (since $\mathcal{Z}(M_{\text{loc}}(A))$ is an AW*-algebra [2, Proposition 3.1.5] and, as it is abelian, is therefore injective).

1. $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ AS A W^* -ALGEBRA

It need not be true that $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is an AW*-algebra. For example, $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = A$ in the case where A is unital, simple, and separable—but AW*-algebras (of infinite dimension) are nonseparable. Although it is even less likely that $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is a W^* -algebra, this is precisely the case for a number of important examples (such as if A is a von Neumann algebra or if A can be represented as acting on a Hilbert space H in such a way as to contain every compact operator).

Theorem 1.2 below characterizes those separable C^* -algebras that admit W^* -algebra local multipliers. To prepare the way, the following lemma will be of use.

Lemma 1.1. *If A is a separable C^* -algebra and if $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is a W^* -algebra, then $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is of type I.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is faithfully represented as a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space H . Thus,

$$A \subseteq A'' \subseteq M_{\text{loc}}(A) \subseteq \bar{A} \subseteq I(A),$$

as an inclusion of operator systems. Because A is order dense in \bar{A} [11], A is also order dense in A'' ; that is,

$$h = \sup \{k \in A^+ \mid k \leq h\}, \quad \forall h \in A''.$$

Consequently, for any normal state ω on A'' , $\omega(h) \geq \sup\{\omega(k) \mid k \in A^+, k \leq h\}$. Hence, any normal state ω on A'' that is faithful on A is also faithful on A'' . This implies, by a theorem of Takesaki [18], that A'' is generated by its minimal projections and each minimal projection of A'' is contained in A . Hence, A'' is a discrete type I von Neumann algebra. Since type I AW^* -algebras are injective, we conclude that $A'' = M_{\text{loc}}(A) = I(A)$. \square

We shall employ the following notation from [2]. If $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a family of C^* -algebras, then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha &= \{(a_\alpha)_\alpha : a_\alpha \in A_\alpha \text{ and } \sup_\alpha \|a_\alpha\| < \infty\}; \\ \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha &= \{(a_\alpha)_\alpha : a_\alpha \in A_\alpha \text{ and } \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ only finitely many } a_\alpha \text{ satisfy } \|a_\alpha\| > \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the direct product $\prod_\alpha A_\alpha$ and the direct sum $\bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha$ are C^* -algebras and $\bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha$ is an ideal of $\prod_\alpha A_\alpha$.

The next theorem is one of the main results of this paper. Recall that an elementary C^* -algebra is one that is isomorphic to $K(H)$ for some Hilbert space H .

Theorem 1.2. *The following statements are equivalent for a separable C^* -algebra A .*

- (1) $I(A)$ is a W^* -algebra.
- (2) $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is a W^* -algebra.
- (3) $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is a discrete type I W^* -algebra.
- (4) A contains a minimal essential ideal that is isomorphic to a direct sum of elementary C^* -algebras.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (4). As A is a C^* -algebra whose injective envelope $I(A)$ is a W^* -algebra, \bar{A} is also a W^* -algebra (because a monotone closed C^* -subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra is a von Neumann algebra [13]). Since A is separable, \bar{A} has a countable order-dense subset (Wright notes in [21, page 84] that the equivalence of the separability and having a countable order-dense subset follows from Theorem 4.3 of [19]). Hence, by [21, Proposition A], the set of pure states of \bar{A} (in the weak* topology) is hyperseparable. Since hyperseparability implies separability, another theorem of Wright [20, Corollary 7] shows that \bar{A} is isomorphic to $\prod_n B(H_n)$ (a countable product), with each H_n separable. Further, since $\prod_n B(H_n)$ is injective, it follows that $I(A) = \bar{A} = \prod_n B(H_n)$. Finally, Lemma 3.1(iii) of [12] yields that $\bigoplus_n K(H_n) \subseteq A \subseteq \prod_n B(H_n)$. The minimality of $\bigoplus_n K(H_n)$ is given by [12, Proposition 3.3].

(4) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose that A has a minimal essential ideal K such that $K \cong \bigoplus_n K(H_n)$. Therefore, by [2, Lemma 1.2.1],

$$M(K) = M\left(\bigoplus_n K(H_n)\right) = \prod_n M(K(H_n)) = \prod_n B(H_n),$$

which shows that $M(K)$ is a (discrete type I) W^* -algebra. Furthermore, because K is a minimal essential ideal of A , $M(K) = M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ by [2, Remark 2.3.7]. Hence, $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is a discrete type I W^* -algebra.

The implication (3) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial, and the implication (2) \Rightarrow (3) is Lemma 1.1.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Type I AW^* -algebras are injective, and so the inclusion $A \subseteq M_{\text{loc}}(A) \subseteq I(A)$ (with $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ injective) implies that $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = I(A)$, whence $I(A)$ is a W^* -algebra. \square

Corollary 1.3. *If any one of the equivalent conditions in Theorem 1.2 holds for a separable C^* -algebra A , then*

$$M_{\text{loc}}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}(M_{\text{loc}}(A)) = \overline{A} = I(A).$$

Proof. Assume that any one of the statements (1)–(4) in Theorem 1.2 holds. Then $M_{\text{loc}}(A)$ is an injective W^* -algebra. However, $A \subseteq M_{\text{loc}}(A) \subseteq I(A)$ as C^* -subalgebras, and so by definition of the injective envelope, it must be that $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = I(A)$, which proves that $M_{\text{loc}}(A) = M_{\text{loc}}(M_{\text{loc}}(A)) = \overline{A} = I(A)$. \square

Corollary 1.4. *If A is a separable, prime C^* -algebra, then exactly one of the following two statements holds:*

- (1) $I(A) \cong B(H)$, for some separable Hilbert space H ;
- (2) $I(A)$ is a wild type III AW^* -factor.

In particular, if A has no nonzero postliminal ideals, then $I(A)$ is a wild type III AW^ -factor.*

Proof. Because A is prime, $I(A)$ is an AW^* -factor [11, Theorem 7.1]. This factor cannot be of type II for the following reasons.

If $I(A)$ is a finite type II AW^* -factor, then the identity $1 \in I(A)$ is a finite projection, and so 1 is a finite projection in \overline{A} as well. Therefore, \overline{A} is of type I [15, Theorem 2]. But type I algebras are injective; hence $\overline{A} = I(A)$, contradicting that $I(A)$ is of type II. Thus, assume that $I(A)$ is a type II_∞ AW^* -factor. Since $I(A)$ admits a faithful state (because A is separable), $I(A)$ is a W^* -algebra by [6]. So Theorem 1.2 implies that $I(A)$ is of type I, which is a contradiction. Hence, $I(A)$ is a factor of either type I or type III.

In the case where $I(A)$ is of type I we have $I(A) \cong B(H)$ for some Hilbert space H , because all type I AW^* -factors have this form [14, Theorem 2]. Indeed, in this case, $\overline{A} = I(A) \cong B(H)$; since \overline{A} is countably decomposable, H can be chosen to be separable.

If $I(A)$ is not of type I, then the type III AW^* -factor $I(A)$ cannot be a W^* -algebra, by Theorem 1.2. Every AW^* -factor that is not W^* -algebra is wild [21]; hence, $I(A)$ is wild.

Finally, if A is prime and has a nonzero postliminal ideal, then $I(A)$ is of type I [12]. Thus, a prime separable C^* -algebra with no nonzero postliminal ideals must have a wild type III injective envelope. \square

2. A VERSION OF THE BOUNDARY THEOREM

If E is an operator system, then the C^* -envelope [10, 16] of E is the C^* -subalgebra $C_{\text{env}}^*(E)$ of $I(E)$ generated by E . The C^* -algebra $C_{\text{env}}^*(E)$ is independent of the choice embedding of E into an injective envelope (I, κ) of E ; thus, the notation $C_{\text{env}}^*(E)$ is unambiguous.

The aim of the present section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $E \subseteq B(H)$ be an operator system for which the von Neumann algebra E'' is generated by its minimal projections, each of which is contained in the C^* -subalgebra $C^*(E)$ of $B(H)$ generated by E . Then $I(E)$ is a type I W^* -algebra and*

$$I(E) \cong E'' \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\text{env}}^*(E) \cong C^*(E).$$

Before turning to the proof of Theorem 2.1, recall that the original motivation for the concept of injectivity is Arveson's Hahn–Banach Extension Theorem [4] for completely positive linear maps, and that the idea of an injective envelope stems from Arveson's theory of boundary representations [5]. In Arveson's work on boundary representations, the operator systems were often realized as irreducible operator systems in $B(H)$ and their generated C^* -algebras $C^*(E)$ were sometimes assumed to have nontrivial intersection with—and hence contain—the ideal $K(H)$ of compact operators. In this spirit, Theorem 2.1 is a generalization of the boundary theorem from $B(H)$ to discrete type I von Neumann algebras.

Two preliminary results are needed for the proof of Theorem 2.1. The first result is a proposition of Hamana that is a useful criterion for determining when an injective operator system I containing E is an injective envelope.

Proposition 2.2. ([9, Lemma 3.7]) *Consider an inclusion $E \subseteq I$ of operator systems, where I is injective. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) I is an injective envelope of E .
- (2) The only completely positive linear map $\omega : I \rightarrow I$ for which $\omega|_E = id_E$ is the identity map $\omega = id_I$.

The second preliminary result is a kind of partial converse to the main result of [18].

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that A is a C^* -subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra M and that $M = A''$. If M is generated by its minimal projections, each of which is contained in A , then A is order dense in M .*

Proof. Choose a nonzero $h \in M^+$ and consider the set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ (k_i) \subset A^+ : \sum_{\text{finite}} k_i \leq h \}.$$

There is a strictly positive λ in the spectrum $\sigma(h)$ of h . Let $e \in M$ be the spectral projection $e = e^h([\lambda, \infty))$, where e^h denotes the spectral resolution of h . Thus, $0 \neq \lambda e \leq he$. Moreover, e majorizes a minimal projection p of M ; by hypothesis, $p \in A$. Thus, $0 \neq \lambda p = e(\lambda p)e \leq e(\lambda)e = \lambda e \leq he \leq h$, and so $\lambda p \in \mathcal{F}$, which proves that $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$. It is clear that \mathcal{F} is inductive under inclusions of those families and so, by Zorn's Lemma, \mathcal{F} has a maximal family W . Since every finite sum of this family is less than h ,

$$y = \sup \left\{ \sum_{k \in K} k : K \text{ is finite and } K \subset W \right\} \leq h.$$

If $y \neq h$, then $h - y \in M^+$, and so by the first paragraph there exists nonzero $k \in A^+$ such that $k \leq h - y$. If it were true that $k \in W$, then for each net (h_i) of those finite sums of elements in W such that $h_i \nearrow y$, the net $(h_i + k) \nearrow y + k$, which contradicts the fact that y is the supremum. Hence, $k \notin W$. But if $k \notin W$, then the family W is not maximal, which is again a contradiction. Therefore, it must be that $y = h$, which proves that A is order dense in M .

Theorem 2.4. *If $A \subseteq B(H)$ is a C^* -algebra and if $M = A''$ is generated by its minimal projections, each of which is contained in A , then $\varphi = \text{id}_M$ for every completely positive linear map $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$ for which $\varphi|_A = \text{id}_A$.*

Proof. Observe that because $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$ is a unital completely positive map that preserves A , φ has the following property:

$$\varphi(xk) = \varphi(x)k, \text{ for every } k \in A.$$

This fact follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and from the fact that A is in the multiplicative domain of φ [16, Theorem 3.18]. Using this fact we shall deduce below that

$$(2.1) \quad x \geq 0 \text{ if and only if } \varphi(x) \geq 0.$$

Indeed, one implication is obvious from the positivity of φ . To prove the other implication, assume that $\varphi(x) \geq 0$. Thus, $\text{Im}(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(\text{Im}(x)) = 0$. Let $z = \text{Im}(x)$ and write $z = z^+ - z^-$, where $z^+, z^- \in M^+$ are such that $z^+z^- = z^-z^+ = 0$.

Our first goal is to prove that $z^+ = 0$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $z^+ \neq 0$. Thus, there is a strictly positive λ in the spectrum of z^+ ; hence, there is a spectral projection $p \in M$ such that $0 \neq \lambda p \leq pz^+ = z^+p$. Note that $z^-p = 0$, as the projection p is in the von Neumann algebra generated by z^+ and $z^+z^- = z^-z^+ = 0$. Let $q \in A$ be an arbitrary minimal projection of M and consider the projection $p \wedge q \in M$. Because $p \wedge q \leq q$ and q is minimal, either $p \wedge q = 0$ or $p \wedge q = q$. We will show that the latter case cannot occur (under the conventional assumption that minimal projections are defined to be nonzero). Assume that it is true that $p \wedge q = q$. Then $0 \neq q = p \wedge q \leq p$. Pre- and post-multiply the inequality $\lambda q \leq \lambda p \leq z^+p = zp$ by q to obtain $\lambda q \leq q(zp)q \leq qzq$. Note that $\varphi(zq) = \varphi(z)q$ (because A is in the multiplicative domain of φ) and that $\varphi(z) = 0$ (by hypothesis). Likewise, for any hermitian $y \in M$, $\varphi(qy) = \varphi(yq)^* = q\varphi(y)$. Thus, $\varphi(qzq) = q\varphi(z)q = 0$ and $0 \leq \lambda q = \varphi(\lambda q) \leq q\varphi(z)q = 0$. This implies that $q = 0$, which contradicts the

fact that q is minimal and, thus, nonzero. Therefore, it must be that $p \wedge q = 0$, for every minimal projection q of M . Because every nonzero projection in M majorizes a minimal projection, we conclude that $p = 0$, in contradiction to the fact that p is a nonzero spectral projection of z^+ . Hence, it must be that $z^+ = 0$.

A similar argument shows that $z^- = 0$. We can find a nonzero $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and a minimal projection $q \in A$ such that $qzq \leq -\lambda q$; thus $-\lambda q = \varphi(-\lambda q) \geq \varphi(qzq) = q\varphi(z)q = 0$, and again $q = 0$.

We conclude that $z = 0$, which implies that x is selfadjoint. It remains to show that x is positive. Assume that x is not positive. Thus, there exists a nonzero spectral projection in the negative part of $\sigma(x)$; by taking once again a suitable minimal subprojection q , we can find $\lambda > 0$ such that $qxq \leq -\lambda q$. But then $\varphi(qxq) \leq -\lambda q$; and on the other hand, $\varphi(qxq) = q\varphi(x)q \geq 0$. The contradiction implies that no such q can exist, and so $x \geq 0$.

From (2.1) and the fact the φ preserves A , we have that for $k \in A$, $k \leq x$ if and only if $k \leq \varphi(x)$. Lemma 2.3 asserts that A is order dense in M . Hence, $\varphi(x) = x$ for every $x \in M^+$, which implies that φ is the identity map on M . \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By hypothesis, $C^*(E)$ contains all the minimal projections that generate E'' . Theorem 2.4 together with Proposition 2.2 show that E'' is an injective envelope for E . Further, there is a completely positive projection ϕ on $B(H)$ with range E'' . Hence, if $x, y \in E''$, then $x \circ y$ —the product of x and y in the C^* -algebra $I(E)$ —is given by $x \circ y = \phi(xy) = xy$, since E'' is an algebra. Thus, $E'' = I(E)$ and $C^*(E)$ is precisely $C_{\text{env}}^*(E)$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We wish to thank the referee for a number of useful suggestions. Our original proof that (1) implies (4) in Theorem 1.2 was achieved by different methods than the proof included herein; the proof given here was communicated to us by Professor M. Hamana. This work has been supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ara and M. Mathieu, A local version of the Dauns–Hofmann theorem, *Math. Z.* 208 (1991), 349–353.
- [2] P. Ara and M. Mathieu, *Local Multipliers of C^* -algebras*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, London, 2003.
- [3] P. Ara and M. Mathieu, A not so simple local multiplier algebra, arXiv:math.OA/0509437, 2005 (preprint).
- [4] W.B. Arveson, Subalgebras of C^* -algebras, *Acta Math.* 123 (1969), 141–224.
- [5] W.B. Arveson, Subalgebras of C^* -algebras, II, *Acta Math.* 128 (1972), 271–308.
- [6] G.A. Elliott, K. Saitô, and J.D.M. Wright, Embedding AW^* -algebras as double commutants in type I algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* 28 (1983), 376–384.
- [7] M. Frank, Injective envelopes and local multiplier algebras of C^* -algebras, *Int. Math. J.* 1 (2002), 611–620.
- [8] M. Frank and V.I. Paulsen, Injective envelopes of C^* -algebras as operator modules, *Pacific J. Math.* 212 (2003), 57–69.
- [9] M. Hamana, Injective envelopes of C^* -algebras, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 31 (1979), 181–197.

- [10] M. Hamana, Injective envelopes of operator systems, *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.* 15 (1979), 773–785.
- [11] M. Hamana, Regular embeddings of C^* -algebras in monotone complete C^* -algebras, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 33 (1981), 159–183.
- [12] M. Hamana, The centre of the regular monotone completion of a C^* -algebra, *J. London Math. Soc.* 26 (1982), 522–530.
- [13] R.V. Kadison, Operator algebras with a faithful weakly-closed representation, *Ann. of Math.* 64 (1956), 175–181.
- [14] I. Kaplansky, Algebras of type I, *Ann. of Math.* 56 (1952), 460–472.
- [15] M. Ozawa and K. Saitô, Embeddable AW^* -algebras and regular completions, *J. London Math. Soc.* 34 (1986), 511–523.
- [16] V.I. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [17] G.K. Pedersen, Approximating derivations on ideals of C^* -algebras, *Invent. Math.* 45 (1979), 299–305.
- [18] M. Takesaki, Faithful states on a C^* -algebra, *Pacific J. Math.* 52 (1973), 605–610.
- [19] J.D.M. Wright, Regular σ -completions of C^* -algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* 12 (1976), 299–309.
- [20] J.D.M. Wright, On von Neumann algebras whose pure states are separable, *J. London Math. Soc.* 12 (1976), 385–388.
- [21] J.D.M. Wright, Wild AW^* -factors and Kaplansky–Rickart algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* 13 (1976), 83–89.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF REGINA
REGINA, SASKATCHEWAN
CANADA S4S 0A2

E-mail address: argerami@math.uregina.ca

E-mail address: farenick@math.uregina.ca